

BF₃ ·Et₂O-Induced Decomposition of Ethyl 2-Diazo-3-hydroxy-3,3-diarylpropanoates in Acetonitrile: A Novel Approach to 2,3-Diaryl -Enamino Ester Derivatives

Antimo Gioiello, Francesco Venturoni, Benedetto Natalini, and Roberto Pellicciari*

*Dipartimento di Chimica e Tecnologia del Farmaco, Uni*V*ersita` degli Studi di Perugia, Via del Liceo 1, 06123 Perugia, Italy*

rp@unipg.it

*Recei*V*ed December 26, 2008*

The BF_3 Et₂O-induced decomposition of ethyl 2-diazo-3hydroxy-3,3-diarylpropanoates, prepared by the addition of a series of benzophenones to ethyl diazo(lithio)acetate, is reported and studied. By using acetonitrile as a solvent, the corresponding *N*-acyl β -enamino ester derivatives are obtained in good yields and with a diverse regioselectivity as the result of 1,2-aryl migration in the vinyl cation intermediates. The factors that govern the migratory aptitude as well as the mechanistic aspects of the reaction are discussed.

 α -Diazo- β -hydroxy esters of type **1a** and **1b** (Scheme 1) easily prepared by aldol-type condensation of ethyl diazoacetate $(EDA, 2)$ with an aldehyde or a ketone,¹ respectively, are versatile compounds endowed with a wealth of potential synthetic applications.²

It has previously been reported,^{1c,d,3} in particular, that when derivatives **1b** obtained from ketonic substrates, and therefore missing of the hydrogen atom α to the diazo moiety, are decomposed in the presence of stoichiometric amounts of boron

SCHEME 2. BF₃ ·Et₂O-Induced Decomposition of Cyclic and Acyclic r**-Diazo--hydroxy Esters**

trifluoride etherate (BF_3 · Et_2O), they are transiently transformed by nitrogen loss into the corresponding vinyl cation intermediate which exhibits different transformation profiles according, mainly, to the solvent employed. Thus, exposure of **3**, prepared by reaction of cyclohexanone with ethyl diazo(lithio)acetate (LiEDA), to $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ (1.5 equiv) in pentane afforded lactone **4** in 75% yield, while ethyl 2-(acetamidomethyl)-1-cyclohexenecarboxylate (**5**) was the major product (38%), besides minor amounts of **4** (23%) when the same reaction was carried out in acetonitrile (Scheme 2a).^{1d} Interestingly, the $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O\text{-}catalyzed$ decomposition in acetonitrile of ethyl 2-diazo-2-(1-hydroxycyclopentyl)acetate (**6**) gave a different transformation profile. In this case, indeed, α - and β -enamino ester derivatives 7 and 8 were found to be the only reaction products, in 55% and 6% yield, respectively (Scheme 2b).^{1d} As a last example, when the acyclic β -diazo ester **9** was exposed to BF_3 · Et₂O in acetonitrile, the enamine **10** was obtained as the major product (53%), while indenes **11** and **12** were isolated in minor amounts (Scheme $2c$).^{1d}

The " α -diazoesters/BF₃ · Et₂O/acetonitrile route" to β -enamino ester derivatives, illustrated by the previous examples, is of great synthetic value because of the possibility to give access to biological active compounds⁴ such as α^{-5} and β -amino acids,⁶ altraloids,⁷ and pentides ⁸ Although several approaches for the alkaloids,⁷ and peptides.⁸ Although several approaches for the

^{(1) (}a) Schollkopf, U.; Frasnelli, H.; Hoppe, D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1970**, *9*, 300–301. (b) Wenkert, E.; McPherson, A. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, *94*, 8084–8190. (c) Pellicciari, R.; Natalini, B.; Cecchetti, S.; Fringuelli, R. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1985**, *3*, 493–497. (d) Pellicciari, R.; Natalini, B.; Sadeghpour, B. M.; Marinozzi, M.; Snyder, J. P.; Williamson, B. L.; Kuethe, J. T.; Padwa, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 1–12. (e) Moody, C. J. *Synthesis* **1998**, 1039–1042. (f) Jiang, N.; Qu, Z.; Wang, J. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 2989– 2992. (g) Jiang, N.; Wang, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 1285–1287. (h) Sreedhar, B.; Balasubrahmanyam, V.; Sridhar, C.; Nagendra Prasad, M. *Catal. Commun.* **2005**, *6*, 517–519. (i) Kantam, M. L.; Chakrapani, L.; Ramani, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, *48*, 6121–6123.

⁽²⁾ For reviews see: (a) Zhang, Z.; Wang, J. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, *64*, 6577– 6605. (b) Doyle, M. P.; McKervey, M. A.; Ye, T. *Modern Catalytic Methods for Organic Synthesis with Diazo Compounds*; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1998. (c) Ye, T.; McKervey, M. A. *Chem. Re*V*.* **¹⁹⁹⁴**, *⁹⁴*, 1091–1160.

⁽³⁾ Pellicciari, R.; Natalini, B.; Sadeghpour, B. M.; Rosato, G. C.; Ursini, A. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1993**, 1798–1800.

^{(4) (}a) Torii, S.; Okumoto, H.; Xu, L. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 7175– 7178. (b) Hong, C. Y.; Kishi, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 7001–7006. (c) Baindur, N.; Rutledge, A.; Triggle, D. J. *J. Med. Chem.* **1993**, *36*, 3743–3745. (d) Poindexter, G. S.; Licause, J. F.; Dolan, P. L.; Foey, M. A.; Combs, C. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 3811–3820.

⁽⁵⁾ Felice, E.; Fioraventi, S.; Pellacani, L.; Tardella, P. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 4413–4416, and the references cited herein.

⁽⁶⁾ For recent reviews see: (a) Bruneau, C.; Renaud, J.-L.; Jerphagnon, T. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2008, 252, 532-544. (b) Juaristi, E. Enantioselective Synthesis *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2008, 252, 532–544. (b) Juaristi, E. *Enantioselective Synthesis of* β -*Amino Acids*; Wiley-VCH: New York, 1997. (c) Jerphagnon, T.; Renaud, J.-L.; Bruneau, C. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2004**, *15*, 2101–2111. (d) Liu, M.; Mukund, S. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 7991–8035.

SCHEME 3. Mechanism of the Formation of 3,3-Diaryl r**-Enamino and 2,3-Diaryl -Enamino Esters from Benzophenones**

synthesis of these derivatives have been reported,⁹ most of them suffer from limitations, and new, facile, and efficient methods for their preparation are therefore still required.

With this aim in mind and as a further extension of our study in the field, we have prepared a series of ethyl 2-diazo-3 hydroxy-3-aryl-3-phenylpropanoates (**14a**10-**f**), starting from the corresponding 4-substituted benzophenones (**13a**-**f**), and explored their $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ -induced decomposition (Scheme 3). By using acetonitrile as a solvent, the corresponding β -enamino ester derivatives are the only products formed during the reaction as the result of 1,2-aryl or 1,2-phenyl migration in the corresponding vinyl cations **16a**-**f**. The factors that affect the vinyl rearrangement as well as the mechanistic aspects of the reaction are herein reported and discussed.

Ethyl 2-diazo-3-hydroxy-3-aryl-3-phenylpropanoates **14a**-**^f** were prepared by adding the corresponding benzophenones **13a-f** to a THF solution of LiEDA salt at -78 °C (Table 1). After the addition completion, the reaction mixture was quenched at the same temperature with a THF solution of acetic acid followed by workup to furnish the desired compounds **14a**-**^e** in good yields, except for the **14f** obtained in 37% yield (Table 1, educt f).

TABLE 1. Synthesis of Ethyl 2-Diazo-3-hydroxy-3-aryl-3 phenylpropanoates 14a-**^f**

N_n

^a Calculated after purification by flash chromatography on silica gel.

TABLE 2. BF₃ ·Et₂O-Induced Decomposition of Ethyl 2-Diazo-3-hydroxy-3-aryl-3-phenylpropanoates 14a-**^f**

| | | products ratio α | |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| educt | isolated yield | $1,2$ -Ph shift $E-19:Z-19$ | $1,2-Ar$ shift $E-20:Z-20$ |
| 13a | 90% | 51:49 | |
| 13 _b | 87% | 36:21 | 0:43 |
| 13c | 90% | 6^{b} :18 | 18^{b} :58 |
| 13d | 92% | 7:35 | 11:47 |
| 13 _e | 97% | $19^{b} \cdot 27^{b}$ | $19^b:35^b$ |
| 13f | 88% | 19:21 | 36:24 |

^a Calculated after purification by flash chromatography on silica gel. *^b* Obtained as an inseparable isomeric *^E*- or *^Z*-mixture (the relative ratios were calculated by ${}^{1}H$ NMR).

FIGURE 1. Diagnostic ¹ H NMR NOE for **19a**-**^f** and **20a**-**f**.

The α -diazo- β -hydroxy esters **14a-f** thus obtained were dissolved in acetonitrile, and the resulting mixture was added dropwise at room temperature to a solution of freshly distilled $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ (1.5 equiv), affording the corresponding enamino esters arising from 1,2-phenyl- (**19a**-**f**) and 1,2-aryl-shifts (**20a**-**f**), respectively, in high yields (87-97%, Table 2).

The reaction products were identified by NMR and NOESY spectroscopy analysis: diagnostic ¹H NMR NOE enhancements were observed, indeed, between (i) H_A-H_C and H_B-H_D in *Z*-**19a**-**f** and *Z*-**20a**-**f**, (ii) H_A ⁻ H_D and H_A ⁻ H_C in *Z*-**19a**-**f**, and (iii) $H_{A'} - H_A$ and $H_{A''} - H_B$ in **Z-20a-f** (Figure 1).

To further confirm their structures, compounds **19a**-**^f** and **20a**-**^f** were submitted to ozonolysis affording the corresponding α -ketoesters 22 and 25a^{-f} as the sole products of reaction (Scheme 4), thus ruling out that the decomposition of ethyl 2-diazo-3-hydroxy-3-aryl-3-phenylpropanoates **14a**-**^f** gives exclusively β -enamino acid derivatives.

^{(7) (}a) Paulvannan, K.; Schwarz, J. B.; Stille, J. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 215–218. (b) Paulvannan, K.; Stille, J. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 1613– 1620. (c) Barta, N. S.; Brode, A.; Stille, J. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 6201–6206. (d) Cook, G. R.; Beholz, L. G.; Stille, J. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 3575–3584. (e) Blot, J.; Baidou, A.; Bellec, C.; Fargeau-Bellasoued, M.-C.; Celerier, J.-P.; Lhommet, G.; Gardette, D.; Gramain, J.-C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 8511–8514. (f) David, C.; Blot, J.; Bellec, C.; Fargeau-Bellasoued, M.-C.; Haviari, G.; Celerier, J.-P.; Lhommet, G.; Gramain, J.-C.; Gardette, D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 3122–3131.

⁽⁸⁾ For reviews see: (a) Wu, Y.-D.; Han, W.; Wang, D.-P.; Gao, Y.; Zhao, Y.-L.; Beholz, L. G. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 1418–1427. (b) Seebach, D.; Beck, A. K.; Bierdaum, D. J. Chem. Biodiversity 2004, 1, 1111-1239. (c) Seebach, D.; Abele, S.; Gademann, K.; Guichard, G.; Hintermann, T.; Jaun, B.; Matthews, J. L.; Schreiber, J. V.; Oberer, L.; Hommer, U.; Widmer, H. Helv. Matthews, J. L.; Schreiber, J. V.; Oberer, L.; Hommer, U.; Widmer, H. *Helv.* Chim. Acta 1998, 81, 932–982. (d) Seebach, D.; Overhand, M.; Kühnle, N. M.; Martinoni, B. *Hel*V*. Chim. Acta* **¹⁹⁹⁶**, *⁷⁹*, 913–941.

 vi , 104–126, and the references cited herein. (b) Mangelinckx, S.; Van Vooren, *vi*, 104–126, and the references cited herein. (b) Mangelinckx, S.; Van Vooren, $P \cdot De$ Clerck, D.: Fülöp, F.: De Kimpe, N. *ARKIVOC* (Gainesville, FL, U.S.) P.; De Clerck, D.; Fülöp, F.; De Kimpe, N. *ARKIVOC (Gainesville, FL, U.S.)*
2006 *iji* 202–209 (c) Lenin L.: Raju, R. M. *ARKIVOC (Gainesville, FL, U.S.*) **²⁰⁰⁶**, *iii*, 202–209. (c) Lenin, L.; Raju, R. M. *ARKIVOC (Gaines*V*ille, FL, U.S.)* **2006**, *xiii*, 204–209. (d) Ramtohul, Y. K.; Chartrand, A. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 1029– 1032. (e) Hebbache, H.; Hank, Z.; Boutamine, S.; Meklati, M.; Bruneau, C.; Renaud, J.-L. *C. R. Chim.* **2008**, *11*, 612–619.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Nagao, K.; Chiba, M.; Kim, S. W. *Synthesis* **1983**, *197*, 199.

FIGURE 2. Plot of parametrized resonance effect (*R*eff) versus log **20**/ **19**.

SCHEME 5. Isomerization of *E***-20b into** *Z***-20b**

A deeper inspection of the results reported in Table 2 revealed that 1,2-phenyl and 1,2-aryl migration, which dominated over the solvent trapping, was influenced by the electronic effect imposed by the ring substituents. In particular, it is interesting to note that the presence of a *p*-NO₂ substituent (Table 2, educt **13b**) reduces the migratory aptitude of the aryl group, while a 1,2-aryl shift is favored with *p*-OMe, *p*-Cl, *p*-Me, and *p*-F substitution (Table 2, educt **13c**-**f**). To substantiate this electronic effect, the relative migratory aptitude of the aryl groups, indicated as the logarithm of the **20**/**19** ratio, was analyzed with their respective parametrized resonance effects (R_{eff}) , inductive effects (*F*), and Hammett σ values.¹¹ As shown in Figure 2, the data fit well to R_{eff} ($r^2 = 0.91$), indicating that an aryl with electron-donating group (for mesomeric effect) is endowed with a higher tendency to migrate at the more electrophilic carbon, in agreement with the α -vinyl cation formation.12 On the contrary, no correlation was observed either with the inductive effects (F) or with the Hammett σ values (data not shown), thus ruling out that the resonance is the only electronic parameter which influences the aryl migration process.

The decomposition of the ethyl 2-diazo-3-hydroxy-3-phenyl-3-(4-nitro-phenyl)propanoate (**14b**) deserves an additional comment. Indeed, while the TLC and the ¹H NMR of the crude reaction mixture showed the formation of four distinct products (two low polar and two more polar), unexpectedly, only derivatives *Z*-**19b**, *Z*-**20b**, and *E*-**19b** were recovered after purification. We supposed that 3-acetylamino-2-(4′-nitro-phenyl)-3-phenyl-acrylic acid ethyl ester (*E*-**20b**) underwent an acidic *trans*-*cis* isomerization, maybe induced by silica gel, as the result of a low inversion energy barrier and a strong push-pull system made more effective by the presence of an electronwithdrawing group $(-NO_2)$ at the α -carbonyl position (Scheme 5).13 A further energy contribution to the *trans*-*cis* inversion derives from the presence in the *Z*-form of a stabilizing intramolecular hydrogen bond between the carbonyl and the enamine group, absent in the corresponding *E*-isomers.

In conclusion, we reported the $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ -induced decomposition reaction of a series of α -diazo- β -hydroxy esters prepared from the corresponding benzophenones. The reaction proceeds via 1,2-aryl or 1,2-phenyl migration of the α -aryl- α -phenylvinyl cation, a process which resulted in strong dependence on the electronic properties of the aryl substituents. We believe that this methodology may provide facile access to a variety of aryl substituted *N*-acyl β -enamino esters, useful building blocks for the synthesis of chiral β -amino acids as well as other biologically important compounds.

Experimental Section

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Ethyl 2-Diazo-3 hydroxy-3-aryl-3-phenylpropanoates 14a-**f.** To a stirring solution of LDA [prepared from addition of n -BuLi (1.6 mmol) to a -78 °C solution of diisopropylamine (2 mmol) in THF (2.5 mL)] was added a cooled solution of EDA (**2**; 1.5 mmol) in dry THF (2.5 mL) at -78 °C in 15 min. After 10 min from the end of the addition, a THF (5 mL) solution of benzophenone (**13a**-**f**; 1 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was then added in 10 min at -78 °C. After 15 min a cooled $(-78 \degree C)$ solution of AcOH (0.15 mL) in THF (10 mL) was added in 5 min. The reaction mixture was taken into $H₂O$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 25 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic fractions were dried over with $Na₂SO₄$ and evaporated in vacuum. The crude residue was purified with flash chromatography eluting with a solution of Hex/EtOAc (9:1, v:v).

Ethyl 2-Diazo-3-hydroxy-3,3-diphenylpropanoate (14a)10**:** yellow solid; 82% yield. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.27 (t, 3H, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 4.25 (q, 2H, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 4.98 (br, 1H), $7.31 - 7.43$ (m, 10H). 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) *δ*: 14.3, 61.3, 78.9, 126.8, 128.1, 143.3, 167.3.

Ethyl 2-Diazo-3-hydroxy-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-phenylpropanoate (14d): yellow solid; 98% yield. ¹ H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl3) *δ*: 1.29 (t, 3H, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 4.27 (q, 2H, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 5.00 (br, 1H), 7.27-7.44 (m, 9H). 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) *^δ*: 14.3, 61.4, 78.5, 126.7, 128.3, 128.4, 128.5, 134.1, 142.2, 142.8, 167.2.

General Procedure for Decomposition of Ethyl 2-Diazo-3 hydroxy-3-aryl-3-phenylpropanoates 14a-**f.** To a magnetically stirred solution of freshly distilled BF_3 · Et_2O (1.66 mmol) in dry acetonitrile (5 mL) was added a solution of α -diazo- β -hydroxy ester **14a**-**^f** (1.11 mmol) in dry acetonitrile (30 mL) with a syringe pump (0.02 mmol/min) at room temperature. After the end of the addition, the reaction mixture was stirred for additional 30 min at room temperature and then poured into a saturated solution of $NAHCO₃$ (75 mL), extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 25 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuum. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography eluting with a solution of petroleum ether/ EtOAc (6:4, v:v).

Ethyl (2*Z***)-3-(Acetylamino)-2,3-diphenylacrylate (***Z***-19a):** yellowbrown oil; 44% yield. UV (H₂O, CH₃CN): 230.38, 301.48. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.21 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 2.13 (s, 3H), 4.20 $(q, 2H, J = 7.1 \text{ Hz})$, 6.94-6.95 (m, 2H), 7.01-7.06 (m, 2H), 7.06-7.13 (m, 6H), 11.34 (s, 1H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) *δ*: 14.1, 25.0, 60.9, 113.2, 126.3, 127.2, 127., 127.9, 128.7, 131.8, 135.2, 135.2, 151.5, 168.3, 169.3. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{19}NO_3$: C, 73.77; H, 6.19; N, 4.53. Found: C, 73.64; H, 6.56; N, 4.32.

Ethyl (2*E***)-3-(Acetylamino)-2,3-diphenylacrylate (***E***-19a):** white solid (mp: 156-157 °C); 46% yield. UV (H₂O, CH₃CN): 225.79, 292.26. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) *δ*: 0.91 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.1 Hz),
1.81 (s. 3H), 3.95 (a. 2H, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 6.81 (s. 1H), 7.33–7.44 1.81 (s, 3H), 3.95 (q, 2H, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 6.81 (s, 1H), 7.33-7.44 (m, 10H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) *δ*: 13.6, 23.6, 61.0, 123.4, 128.1, 128.3, 128.4, 129.1, 129.1, 135.3, 136.6, 140.8, 168.7. Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₁₉NO₃: C, 73.77; H, 6.19; N, 4.53. Found: C, 73.54; H, 6.48; N, 4.51.

Ethyl (2*Z***)-3-(Acetylamino)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-phenylacrylate** (*Z***-19d**): yellow oil; 32% yield. UV (**H**₂O, CH₃CN): 234.02, 303.65. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 1.20 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 2.14 (s,

⁽¹¹⁾ Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Taft, R. W. *Chem. Re*V*.* **¹⁹⁹¹**, *⁹¹*, 165–195.

^{(12) (}a) Jiang, N.; Ma, Z.; Qu, Z.; Xing, X.; Xie, L.; Wang, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 893–900. (b) van Dorp, J. W.; Lodder, G. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 5416–5428.

⁽¹³⁾ Sandstrom, J. *Top. Stereochem.* **1983**, *14*, 83–181.

3H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 4.19 (q, 2H, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 6.92-6.94 (m, 4H), 7.05 (psd, 2H, $J = 8.6$ Hz), 7.09-7.10 (m, 3H), 11.34 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 14.1, 25.0, 61.1, 113.7, 126.6, 127.4, 127.7, 130.0, 131.7, 133.7, 133.8, 134.7, 150.3, 168.4, 169.2. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{18}CINO_3$: C, 66.38; H, 5.28; N, 4.07. Found: C, 66.74; H, 5.58; N, 3.89.

Ethyl (2*E***)-3-(Acetylamino)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-phenylacrylate (***E***-19d):** white solid (mp: 157-158 °C); 6.5% yield. UV (H_2O , CH₃CN): 228.26, 295.23. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) *δ*: 0.97 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 1.84 (s, 3H), 3.98 (q, 2H, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 6.82 (br, 1H), 7.32-7.38 (m, 6H), 7.41-7.45 (m, 2H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) *δ*: 13.7, 23.6, 61.1, 124.1, 128.5, 128.6, 129.1, 129.2, 129.3, 130.6, 135.0, 139.9, 168.3, 168.3. Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₁₈ClNO₃: C, 66.38; H, 5.28; N, 4.07. Found: C, 66.49; H, 5.18; N, 3.95.

Ethyl (2*Z***)-3-(Acetylamino)-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-phenylacrylate (***Z***-20d):** yellow oil; 43% yield. UV (H₂O, CH₃CN): 233.43, 303.62. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) *δ*: 1.20 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 2.12 (s, 3H), 4.19 (q, 2H, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 6.87 (psd, 2H, $J = 8.6$ Hz), 6.98-7.00 (m, 2H), 7.04 (psd, 2H, $J = 8.6$ Hz), 7.10-7.14 (m, 3H), 11.36 (br, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 14.1, 25.0, 61.0, 111.8, 127.5, 127.6, 128.1, 128.6, 132.3, 133.1, 133.7, 134.9, 152.1, 168.3, 169.0. Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₁₈ClNO₃: C, 66.38; H, 5.28; N, 4.07. Found: C, 66.51; H, 5.78; N, 3.83.

Ethyl (2*E***)-3-(Acetylamino)-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-phenylacrylate (***E***-20d):** white solid (mp:153-155 °C); 10% yield. UV (H₂O, CH₃CN): 227.88, 296.56. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) *δ*: 0.90 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 1.83 (s, 3H), 3.95 (q, 2H, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 6.79 (br, 1H), 7.27 (psd, 2H, $J = 8.6$ Hz), 7.37-7.43 (m, 7H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) *δ*: 13.5, 23.6, 61.1, 123.0, 128.1, 128.3, 129.3, 130.6, 134.0, 134.3, 136.5, 141.4, 168.4, 168.4. Anal. Calcd for C19H18ClNO3: C, 66.38; H, 5.28; N, 4.07. Found: C, 66.23; H, 5.31; N, 4.02.

Supporting Information Available: Detailed description of experimental procedures, a listing of all spectroscopic data, elemental analysis, as well as copies of NMR spectra (¹H and $13C$ NMR). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO802775Q